

Draft Final Audit Report of the Audit Division on the Oakland County Democratic Party

(January 1, 2011 - December 31, 2012)

Why the Audit Was Done

Federal law permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file reports under the Federal **Election Campaign Act** (the Act).1 The Commission generally conducts such audits when a committee appears not to have met the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the Act. 2 The audit determines whenks committee complied the kins bions, prohibition and disclosure reconserments of the Act.

Future Action

The Commission may initiate an enforcement action, at a later time, with respect to any of the matters discussed in this report.

About the Committee (1)

The Oakland County Democrate Party is a local party committee headquartered in Southfield withigan. For more information, see the chart on the Committee Organization, p. 3.

Financial tivity (p. 3)

_		
•	Receipts	y
	o Contributions Individuals	\$ 1,933,148
	o Contributions from Political	
	mittees	56,849
	o Nans Som Non-feat in	•
	Account	49,859
	o Other Receipts	7,120
	Total Receipts	\$ 2,046,976
	Total Accepts	# 2,040,970
7	Disbursements	
4	Operating Expenditures	\$ 2,045,934
	isbursements	14,930
	Total Disbursements	\$ 2,060,864

Findings and Recommendations (p. 4)

- Misstatement of Financial Activity (Finding 1)
- Recordkeeping for Employees (Finding 2)
- Disclosure of Occupation and Name of Employer (Finding 3)
- Recordkeeping for Receipts (Finding 4)
- Use of the Campaign Depository (Finding 5)

² 52 U.S.C. §30111(b) (formerly 2 U.S.C. §438(b)).

On September 1, 2014, the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), was transferred from Title 2 of the United States Code to new Title 52 of the United States Code.

Draft Final Audit Report of the Audit Division on the Oakland **County Democratic Party**

(January 1, 2011 - December 31, 2012)



Table of Contents

	Page
Part I. Background Authority for Audit Scope of Audit Commission Guidance	1 1 1
Part II. Overview of Committee Committee Organization Overview of Financial Activity	3
Part III. Summaries Findings and Recommendations	. 4
Finding 1. Misstatement of Financial Activity Finding 2. Recordkeeping for Employees Finding 3. Disclosure of Occupation and Name of Employer Finding 4. Recordkeeping for Recents Finding 5. Use of the Campaign Depository	6 7 8 10 12

Part I **Background**

Authority for Audit

This report is based on an audit of the Oakland County Democratic Party (OCDP), undertaken by the Audit Division of the Federal Election Commission (the Commission) in accordance with the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the Act). The Audit Division conducted the audit pursuant to 52 U.S.C. §30111(b) (formerly 2 U.S.C. §438(b)), which permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file a report under 52 U.S.C. §30104 (formerly 2 U.S.C. §434). Pries to conducting any audit under this subsection, the Commission must perform an internal renew of reports filed by selected committees to determine if the reports filed by a particular committee meet the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the Act.

52 U.S.C. §30111(b) (formerly 2 U.S.C. §438(b)).

Scope of Audit

This audit examined:

- 1. the receipt of excessive contributions and loans;
- 2. the receipt of contributions from prohibited sources;
- 3. the disclosure of contributions received
- 4. the disclosure of individual contributor expation and name of employer;
- 5. the disclosure of disbursements, debts and obtain
- 6. the disclosure of expenses allocated between fed non-federal accounts;
- 7. the consistency between remarked figures and bank records
- 8. the completeness of records;9. other committee operations necessary to the review.

Commission Guidan

Requestator Early Commission Consideration of a Legal Question -Recording for Employees

Pursuant to the commission's colicy Statement Establishing a Program for Requesting Consideration of logal Questions by the Commission," several state party committees unaffiliated with Occas requesed early consideration of a legal question raised during audits covering the 2010 electronically, the Commission addressed whether monthly time logs under 11 CFR §106 (d)(1) were required for employees paid with 100 percent federal funds.

The Commission concluded, by a vote of 5-1, that 11 CFR §106.7(d)(1) does require committees to keep a monthly log for employees paid exclusively with federal funds. Exercising its prosecutorial discretion, however, the Commission decided it will not pursue recordkeeping violations for the failure to keep time logs or to provide affidavits to account for employee salaries paid with 100 percent federal funds and reported as such. The Audit staff informed OCDP representatives of the payroll log requirement and of the Commission's decision not to

pursue recordkeeping violations for failure to keep payroll logs for salaries paid and correctly reported as 100 percent federal. Finding 2 of this audit report (Recordkeeping for Employees) does not include any OCDP employees paid with 100 percent federal funds and reported as such.

Directive 69 and Request for Early Commission Consideration of a Legal Question – Recordkeeping for Receipts

Pursuant to Commission Directive 69, the Commission's guidance was requested by the Office of Compliance and the Office of General Counsel on an issue addressing what recordkeeping requirements under 52 U.S.C. §30102(c) (formerly 2 U.S.C. §432(c)) and 11 CFR §102.9(a) applied to contributions received by OCDP during bi-weekly "bingo nights." A subsequent request was made to the Commission by OCDP on the same issue under the Commission's "Policy Statement Establishing a Program for Requesting Considers for a Legal Questions by the Commission." Specifically, the Commission was asked whether OCDP's gaming nights consisted of three separate fundraising events rather than a single boat for purposes of applying the recordkeeping requirements under 11 CFR §102.9(a).

The Commission concluded on the Directive 69 Guidence and on the Request for Legal Consideration, by votes of 6-0, that OCDP's bingon this did not constitute the separate fundraising events and that OCDP was required to item to all contributions exceeding \$50 received during each bingo night. See Finding 4, Record and for Receipts.



The term bingo is used to refer to all OCDP gaming activity, which includes bingo, progressive bingo, and charity ticket sales.

Part II Overview of Committee

Committee Organization

Important Dates	
Date of Registration	June 11, 1976
Audit Coverage	January 1 December 31, 2012
Headquarters	Southfie MI
Bank Information	
Bank Depositories	Twe
Bank Accounts	Two federal and two non-federal
Treasurer	
Treasurer When Audit Was Conducted	Ryan Gesund: 12/29/14 - 1/28/14; Alexander Fike: 1/29/14 - present
Treasurer During Period Covered by Audit	Ry. Gesund: 12/29/11 -12/31/12
Management Information	
Attended Commission Campaign Finance Sense	No
Who Handled Accounting and Recordkeeping Tasks	Staff

Overview of Financial Activity (Archadamounts)

Cash-on-hand @ January 12 011		
Receipts	·	
O Contribution com Individe ls	1,933,148	
o Contributions in Politic Committees	56,849	
O Transfers from Non-bd al Account	49,859	
O Other Receipts	7,120	
Total Receipts	\$ 2,046,976	
Disbursements		
O Operating Expenditures	2,045,934	
O Other Disbursements	14,930	
Total Disbursements \$ 2,06		
Cash-on-hand @ December 31, 2012		

Part III Summaries

Findings and Recommendations

Finding 1. Misstatement of Financial Activity

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of OCDP's reported financial activity with its bank records revealed that, for 2012, OCDP understated its reported receipts, disbursements and ending cash by \$90,487, \$60,715, and \$33,279, respectively. Subsequent to the exit conference, OCDP amended its reports and materially corrected its misstatements. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, OCD total at it has begun the process of complying with all recommendations. (For more details see p. 6.)

Finding 2. Recordkeeping for Employees

During audit fieldwork, the Audit staff determined that OCDP did not make an any monthly payroll logs, as required, to document the percentage of time each polyee spent in connection with a federal election. For 201 and 2012, the Audit staff identified payments to OCDP employees totaling \$107,555, for such monthly payroll logs were not maintained. This consisted entirely of payroll which as allocated between federal and non-federal funds. In response to the payroll which as allocated between federal and non-federal funds. In response to the payroll which are allocated between federal and non-federal funds. In response to the payroll recommendation, OCDP stated it has begun the process of complying we call recommendations. (For more detail, see p. 7.)

Finding 3. Disclosure of Occupation and Name of Employer

A review of all constantions from individuals equiring itemization indicated that 35 contributions totaling \$1,29 lasted disclosure occupation and/or name of employer. In addition, there was no explore an interpretable forts" to obtain, maintain and submit the information had exercise in response to the Interim Audit Report recompandation, OC stated is as begun the process of complying with all recommendations. (For the detail see p. 8.)

Finding Record Receipts

During audit fiet work, it yas noted that OCDP did not maintain adequate documentation and lid per properly report receipts from its gaming activities totaling \$1,820,466 for the 2002 election cycle. OCDP reported gaming receipts as un-itemized individual contributions in accordance with requirements for receipts from individuals contributing \$50 or less at fundraising events. However, the average contribution from OCDP's bi-weekly gaming events was approximately \$88 per person, which requires more extensive recordkeeping activities. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, OCDP stated it has begun the process of complying with all recommendations. However, OCDP said it disagreed with certain unspecified aspects of the report that concerned its gaming activities. (For more detail, see p. 10.)

Finding 5. Use of the Campaign Depository

A review of OCDP's gaming operations found that OCDP did not deposit most of its gaming receipts into its designated campaign depository as required. During the audit period, OCDP collected \$1,820,466 from its bi-weekly gaming activities but only deposited \$450,162 into its campaign depository. OCDP disbursed the majority of these cash receipts (\$1,370,304) directly to prize winners and game workers. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, OCDP stated it has begun the process of complying with all recommendations. However, OCDP said it disagreed with certain unspecified aspects of the report that concerned its gaming activities. (For more detail, see p. 12.)



Part IV Findings and Recommendations

Finding 1. Misstatement of Financial Activity

Summary

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of OCDP's reported financial activity with its bank records revealed that, for 2012, OCDP understated its reported receipts, disbursements and ending cash by \$90,487, \$60,715 and \$33,279, respectively. Absequent to the exit conference, OCDP amended its reports and materially corrected its misstatements. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, OCDP and it has begun the process of complying with all recommendations.

Legal Standard

Contents of Reports. Each report must disclose

- the amount of cash-on-hand at the beginning and end of the reporting period;
- the total amount of receipts for the reporting personal for the calendar year;
- the total amount of disbursements for the reporting prior and for the calendar year;
- certain transactions that require item on Schedule Stemized Receipts) or Schedule B (Itemized Disbursements) 52 \$30104(1)(1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) (formerly 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(1), (2), (3), (4) and (5)

Facts and Analysis

A. Facts

During audit fieldwork, the soft same and OCDP's reported financial activity with its back receipt for the soft and years 2011 and 2012 and identified misstatements for 201. In receipts, described and ending cash. The following chart outlines the discrepancies and succeept paragraphs explain, to the extent possible, the reasons for the misstant ents.

2012 Activity			
	Reported	Bank Records	Discrepancy
Opening Cash Balance @ January 1, 2012	\$5,356	\$8,863	\$3,507 Understated
Receipts	\$937,760	\$1,028,247	\$90,487 Understated
Disbursements	\$963,905	\$1,024,620	\$60,715 Understated
Ending Cash Balance @ December 31, 2012	(\$20,789)	\$12,490	\$33,279 Understated

The understatement of receipts resulted from the following.

•	Gaming receipts not reported ⁴	\$ 76,233
•	Transfers from non-federal account not reported	12,178
•	In-kind contributions not reported	<u>2,076</u>
	Understatement of Receipts	\$ 90,487

The understatement of disbursements resulted from the following.

Gaming expenditures not reported	\$ 36,229
Operating expenditures not reported	33,899
 Expenditures reported twice 	(10,056)
Operating expenditures incorrectly reported	167
Unexplained difference	476
Net Understatement of Disbursements	\$ 60,715

The understatement of the beginning and ending cash balances restored from prior year misstatements and the misstatements described above.

B. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Ammendation

At the exit conference, the Audit staff explained the sisstements and proy ded schedules to OCDP representatives. The representative agreed to amend their reports.

Subsequent to the exit conference, OC amended its repeat and materially corrected its misstatements. The Interim Audit Report Re

C. Committee Response te terim Audit Report

In response to the Interim Audi Report recommendation, OCDP provided no additional information regarding his matter

Finding 2. Repardicularly for Employees

Summan

During audic feldwork, the studit staff determined that OCDP did not maintain any monthly payrollogs, as restired, to document the percentage of time each employee spent in connective with a rederal election. For 2011 and 2012, the Audit staff identified payments to OCDP to loyees totaling \$107,555, for which monthly payroll logs were not maintained. This consisted entirely of payroll which was allocated between federal and non-federal funds. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, OCDP stated it has begun the process of complying with all recommendations.

Legal Standard

Maintenance of Monthly Logs. Committees must keep a monthly log of the percentage of time each employee spends in connection with a federal election. Allocations of salaries, wages, and fringe benefits are to be undertaken as follows:

⁴ See Finding 4, page 10.

- Employees who spend 25 percent or less of their compensated time in a given month on federal election activities must be paid either from the federal account or have their pay allocated as administrative costs;
- Employees who spend more than 25 percent of their compensated time in a given month on federal election activities must be paid only from a federal account; and,
- Employees who spend none of their compensated time in a given month on federal election activities may be paid entirely with funds that comply with State law. 11 CFR §106.7(d)(1).

Facts and Analysis

A. Facts

During fieldwork, the Audit staff reviewed disbursements for anyroll. OCDP did not maintain any monthly logs or equivalent records to document any percentage of time each employee spent in connection with federal election active. These ogs are required to document the proper allocation of federal and non-federal ands use pay employee salaries and wages. For 2011 and 2012, logs were not maintained for \$2.555 in payroll. The total of \$107,555 consisted entirely of payroll which was allowed between federal and non-federal funds.

B. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Recommendation

At the exit conference and during audi fieldwork, the Audi staff discussed the payroll recordkeeping issue with OCDP representatives. The representatives indicated that they did maintain some records for one individual but I not keep ecords for all required individuals. No records were provided.

For OCDP employees that we shaid with an allocation of federal and non-federal funds, the Interim Audit Report recommended that OVDP provide the following:

• evidence that most by time logs were magazined to document the percentage of

- time an amployee special community and the a federal election; or
- a plan to making more payroll logs in the future.

C. Committee Response Interior Audit Report

In response to the Interim and Report recommendation, OCDP agreed to comply with the recommendation to imprement a plan to maintain monthly payroll logs in the future.

Finding 3. Disclosure of Occupation and Name of Employer

Summary

A review of all contributions from individuals requiring itemization indicated that 35 contributions totaling \$7,389 lacked disclosure of occupation and/or name of employer. In addition, there was no evidence that "best efforts" to obtain, maintain and submit the information had been exercised. In response to the Interim Audit Report

See Part I, Background, Commission Guidance, Request for Early Commission Consideration of a Legal Question - Recordkeeping for Employees, Page 1. Payroll is stated net of taxes and benefits.

recommendation, OCDP stated it has begun the process of complying with all recommendations.

Legal Standard

- A. Itemization required for Contributions from Individuals. A political committee other than an authorized committee must itemize any contribution from an individual if it exceeds \$200 per calendar year, either by itself or when combined with other contributions from the same contributor. 52 U.S.C §30104(b)(3)(A) (formerly 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(3)(A)).
- B. Required Information for Contributions from Individuals. For each itemized contribution from an individual, the committee must provide the wing information:
 - The contributor's full name and address:
 - The contributor's occupation and the name of bother employer;
 - The date of receipt (the date the committee received the contribution);
 - The amount of the contribution; and
 - The calendar year-to-date total of all contributions from the same individual. 11 CFR §§100.12 and 104.3(a)(4) at 52 U.S. §30104(b)(3)(x) § 4 merly 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(3)(A)).
- C. Best Efforts Ensures Compliance. When the treasurer of a political committee shows that the committee used best effects (see below) to see in, maintain, and submit the information required by the Act, the condittee's reports and ecords will be considered in compliance with the Act. 32 U.S. 32102(i) Formerly 2 U.S.C. §432(i)).
- D. Definition of Best France. The treasure and the committee will be considered to have used "best effort" if the amittee satisfied all of the following criteria:

 - All written solve tations or contributions included:
 A clear reques for the patributor's all name, mailing address, occupation, and we of employer; and
 - The state and that such reporting is required by Federal law.
 - Within 30 days for the recipit of the contribution, the treasurer made at least one about to obtain the dissing aformation, in either a written request or a door tented oral respect.

 The treasurer report a any contributor information that, although not initially
 - provided the contributor, was obtained in a follow-up communication or was contained in committee's records or in prior reports that the committee filed during the same two-year election cycle. 11 CFR §104.7(b).

Facts and Analysis

A. Facts

During fieldwork, the Audit staff reviewed all reported contributions from individuals to determine if the required contributor information was disclosed. The review indicated that OCDP did not adequately disclose both the occupation and the name of the employer for nearly all identified errors. There were 35 errors equaling \$7,389. All of the errors disclosed a notation, "Information Requested" on the Schedule A, Itemized Receipts, filed with the Commission.

Although requested, OCDP did not provide any records to demonstrate evidence of any best efforts procedures or follow-up requests for missing contributor information. As a result, OCDP did not make "best efforts" to obtain, maintain and report the missing occupation and name of employer information.

B. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Recommendation

Prior to the exit conference, OCDP was provided schedules of the contributions requiring additional disclosure information. One of OCDP's representatives stated that missing contributor information was partly due to problems with its database. He also stated that the provided schedules would be reviewed and any comments concerning OCDP's best efforts procedures would be submitted in writing. No documents supporting OCDP's best efforts were submitted.

The Interim Audit Report recommended that OCDP take the wing action:

- provide documentation such as phone logs, returned intributed letters, completed
 contributor contact information sheets or other meterials which are constrated that best
 efforts were made to obtain, maintain, and subject the required discipling
 information; or
- absent such documentation, make an effort to contact these individuals for whom
 required information was missing or incomplete, post de documentation of such
 contacts (such as copies of letters to the contributors and/or phone logs), and amend
 OCDP's reports to disclose any internation obtained such those contacts.

C. Committee Response to Interim Audit Repo

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendate. CCDP agreed to comply with the recommendation to six a demonstrate that it had exercised best efforts or to attempt to obtain the missing contribute information and amend its disclosure reports in conformity with best forts.

Finding 4. Receipts

Summer

During aut sieldwork, it is noted that OCDP did not maintain adequate documentation and did not operly report receipts from its gaming activities totaling \$1,820,466 for the 2012 election cycle. OCDP reported gaming receipts as un-itemized individual contributions in accordance with requirements for receipts from individuals contributing \$50 or less at fundraising events. However, the average contribution from OCDP's bi-weekly gaming events was approximately \$88 per person, which requires more extensive recordkeeping activities. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, OCDP stated it has begun the process of complying with all recommendations. However, OCDP said it disagreed with certain unspecified aspects of the report that concerned its gaming activities.

Legal Standard

- A. Recordkeeping. Political committees must keep records of:
 - All contributions received by or on behalf of the committee;
 - The name and address of any person who makes a contribution in excess of \$50, together with the date and amount of the contribution; and

- The occupation and name of employer of any individual whose contributions aggregate more than \$200 during a calendar year, together with the date and amount of any such contributions. 52 U.S.C. §30102(c) (formerly 2 U.S.C. §432(c)).
- B. Retention of Check Copies. For contributions in excess of \$50, committees must maintain a photocopy or digital image of the check or written instrument. 11 CFR §102.9(a)(4).
- C. Preserving Records and Copies of Reports. Committees must preserve these records for 3 years after a report is filed. 52 U.S.C. §30102(d) (formerly 2 U.S.C. §432(d)).

Facts and Analysis

A. Facts

OCDP did not maintain adequate documentation and did not properly wart receipts for its bi-weekly gaming activities totaling \$1,820,600 for the 2012 election ale. Michigan state law governs Michigan gaming activities and recordkeeping is rairements. Accordingly, records were kept of the number of an electron and the quantity and price of gaming cards, books and tickets sold (all gaming sales ensactions are for cash).

However, federal election laws and regular as apply to the adjuites of federal political committees and those rules require a different so frecordkeeping and reporting requirements for contributions. The level of details and in the records and reports of committees is a function of the contribution lights for intervioual contributors.

During the audit page. OCDP caintained records and filed reports as if contributions did not exceed the \$50 to shold. This threshold only requires records to identify the name of the event and the carriagness are carried for the event. OCDP reported gaming receipts from events as un-its area individual contributions in accordance with requirements for receipts from a their duals contributing \$50 or less. However, based on records from OCDP event the Adata staff calculated that contributions averaged approximally \$88 per person, personal. As such, no reasonable assurance could be provided that addividuals at ading the gaming activities contributed \$50 or less. Accordingly, to amply with the recordkeeping requirements, OCDP needs to collect more contributor actumes on for its gaming events.

The Commission considered OCDP's position that each gaming activity held during a night was a separate event for aggregation purposes and that their records and reporting were adequate. As part of its consideration, the Commission sought information about separation of gaming activities and their administrative functions. In anticipation of these questions, the Audit staff held a teleconference with Committee personnel and were told

In addition to the improper reporting of gaming receipts, OCDP did not report \$76,233 mentioned in Finding 1, Misstatement of Financial Activity.

⁶ This amount does not include errors in recordkeeping for checks deposited into Oakland's accounts that were not associated with gaming activities projected to total \$1,970.

This recordkeeping threshold is discussed in the Federal Election Commission Campaign Guide for Political Party Committees and in Advisory Opinions 1981-48 and 1980-99.

that they had only one hall for all gaming activities; separate personnel were not used for the different gaming activities; and all gaming activity tickets could be purchased at any of the ticket purchase locations. No additional information was provided that would indicate separation of gaming activities. As previously noted, the average gaming night contribution was approximately \$88 per person, so OCDP could not reasonably assure that contributions received aggregated to amounts of \$50 or less a night. Based on the above information, the Commission concluded that OCDP's bingo nights were not separate fundraising events, but were one event, and that OCDP was required to obtain the name and address of any person who made contributions in excess of \$50 during a gaming night, and then identify and report those contributors whose aggregate contributions exceeded \$200 during a calendar year. As a result, the Audit staff calculated that OCDP did not properly record and account for contributions totaling \$1,820,466, or 89% of OCDP's total contributions.

B. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Recommendation

At the exit conference, the Audit staff presented this chord keeping water to OCDP representatives as an open issue for which additional Commission guidance would be sought. It was subsequently presented to OCDP epresentatives as a finding.

The Interim Audit Report recommended that OCDR paying records demonstrating that its gaming night contributions did not exceed \$50 per sectibutor. Absent such records, OCDP should develop and implement relation to obtain and region a record of:

- the name, address, date and amount of any erson contributing more than \$50;
 and
- the occupation are the of employer of any individual whose contributions aggregate more than 52 th during a catendar year, together with the date and amount of any such contributions.

C. Committee Response intermediate Report

In respons to the strain Aug. Report recommendation, OCDP stated it has begun the process of complying the all resonant and an amendations. However, OCDP said it disagreed with certain appecified aspect of the report that concerned its gaming activities.

Finding 5. Use of the Campaign Depository

Summary

A review of OCDP's gaming operations found that OCDP did not deposit most of its gaming receipts into its designated campaign depository as required. During the audit period, OCDP collected \$1,820,466 from its bi-weekly gaming activities but only deposited \$450,162 into its campaign depository. OCDP disbursed the majority of these cash receipts (\$1,370,304) directly to prize winners and game workers. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, OCDP stated it has begun the process of complying with all recommendations. However, OCDP said it disagreed with certain unspecified aspects of the report that concerned its gaming activities.

See Part I, Background, Commission Guidance, Directive 69 and Request for Early Commission Consideration of a Legal Question – Recordkeeping for Receipts, Page 2.

Legal Standard

- A. Depositories. Each political committee shall designate one or more state banks, federally chartered depository institutions (including a national bank), or depository institutions, the deposits or accounts of which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, or the National Credit Union Administration, as its campaign depository or depositories. Each political committee shall maintain at least one checking account or transaction account at one of its depositories. All receipts received by such committee shall be deposited in such accounts. No disbursements may be made (other than petty cash disbursements) by such committee except by check drawn on such accounts in accordance with this section. 52 U.S.C. §30102(h)(1) (formerly 2 U.S.C. §432(h)(1)) and 11 CFR §103.2.
- B. Deposit of Receipts and Disbursements. All receipts by political committee shall be deposited in account(s) established pursuant to 11 CFR from except that any contribution may be, within 10 days of the treasurer's receipt, receiptd to the contributor without being deposited. The treasurer of the committee shall be resumable for making such deposits. All deposits shall be made within 1 days of the treasurers receipt. A committee shall make all disbursements by check or similar drafts drawn area account at its designated campaign depository, except for except ditures of \$100 or less ande from a petty cash fund maintained pursuant to 11 CFR §10.001, unds may be transferred from the depository for investment purposes, but shall be received to the depository before such funds are used to make expenditures. 11 CFR §103.
- C. Petty Cash Fund. A political commettee in againtain a petty cash fund out of which it may make expenditures not in excess of other person per purchase or transaction.

It is the duty of the the currer to beep and maintain a written journal of all petty cash disbursements. The whom journal shall include

- Name and address very put to whom any disbursement is made,
- Date
- Amount,
- Taxpose, and
- If the law sement is adde for a candidate, the journal shall include the name of that candidate and the order (including State and Congressional district) sought by such candidate. 11 CFR §102.11.

Facts and Analysis

A. Facts

The Audit staff reviewed OCDP's records of its bi-weekly gaming events and found that OCDP did not deposit most of its gaming receipts into its designated campaign

depository as required by 11 CFR §103.3(a).¹⁰ During the audit period, OCDP collected funds from 204 gaming events totaling \$1,820,466. However, OCDP only deposited funds totaling \$450,162 to its campaign depository, after having disbursed the majority of funds collected (\$1,370,304) to prize winners and to game workers. These cash payments did not utilize a petty cash fund for cash payments of \$100 or less and checks (or similar drafts) for amounts disbursed over \$100.

For the audit period, OCDP made total expenditures of \$2,060,864 with gaming expenditures comprising \$1,711,394 of this amount (83% of total expenditures). As discussed above, cash payments comprised the majority of gazang expenditures. However, for most recurring expenses, checks were used. This expenses by check were made for hall rentals, gaming supplies, advertising and other items estaling \$341,090.

The table below summarizes cash payment activity for gaming.

Payment Type	Total Amount Paid	Description
Cash Prize Payouts Exceeding . \$50.00	\$827,861	Records were maintained on each payment. Disbursements were recorded on reports as payments to individuals and contained the case, address, day amount and purpose for each payment.
Cash Prize Payouts \$50.00 or Less	511,914	Records with not maintained of individual payments. Disbursements were reported as lump sum payments to "Non Youcher Bings Prizes \$50 or Less" (no individual payee information was provided).
Other Cash Gapting Expenses	630,52 %	Recent Scellaneous gaming expenses paid to gaming workers in 2011 were not maintained. These disbursements are included as un-itemized expenditures in reported totals.
Total gassing Cash payments	1,370,31	

At the exit conference the Audit staff initially presented an open issue for recordkeeping for amounts of \$50 or less to OCDP representatives. This issue was later expanded to include all cash disbursements and subsequently presented to OCDP representatives as a finding for failure to utilize a campaign depository for all cash transactions.

The Commission noted in a prior audit of OCDP, approved on March 28, 2001, that OCDP paid prizes using cash received from the sale of bingo cards. As a result of the prior audit, matters were referred to the Office of General Counsel for further enforcement (See MUR 5236). In that matter, the Commission found that OCDP had violated the requirement to deposit all its receipts and that it failed to properly itemize gaming disbursements. In the conciliation agreement with the Commission, OCDP agreed to correctly disclose all financial activity related to its bingo account, deposit all receipts from its bingo games into its designated depository and properly itemize disbursements that aggregate in excess of \$200 from its bingo account.

In subsequent discussions about cash disbursements, OCDP responded that it complied with the Act's required use of a check or "similar draft" by using a "voucher system." Under the voucher system, prize winners fill out and redeem vouchers for cash. OCDP stated that it has used this system for years and the Michigan State Lottery Bureau was aware of it and permitted its use.

In addition, OCDP cited two Advisory Opinions, 1982-25 and 1993-04, where the Commission allowed wire transfers and computerized bill paying, respectively, to satisfy the requirement of a similar draft. OCDP stated that, as in those opinions, the vouchers are not "operative commercial instruments" like checks, but they are documentation of the prize payment transaction, which is the goal of the regulation CDP indicated that the vouchers satisfy the requirements of a "similar draft" while avoiding the practical problems of writing and processing thousands of checks.

Although OCDP cited wire transfers and computerize billing as expections to the similar draft requirement, the Audit staff noted the regulations go beyond simple documentation requirements and that they specifically required use of a campaign depository as cited above. Using a campaign depository ensures a campaign depository ensures a campaign disbrosements to a 3rd party payor/record keeper and provides for a campaign disclosure history for audit purposes.¹¹

The Interim Audit Report recommended and SCDP developed implement procedures to ensure that a campaign depository is used to becceipts an disbursements, including gaming transactions made with cash.

C. Committee Response to the Audit Report

In response to the Joseph Audit Report recommendation, OCDP stated it has begun the process of complying was all recommendations. However, OCDP said it disagreed with certain unspecified aspects. The report hat a incerned its gaming activities.

¹¹ See Advisory Opinions 1993-04 and 1986-18.